Preliminary Evaluation of the Mirror-Based Empirical Line Method using FLARE system (Surface Reflectance – Landsat Level 2)

SDSU Image Processing Laboratory

Presenter: Cibele Teixeira Pinto

Pedro Valle de Carvalho e Oliveira, David Aaron, Jeff Holt, Brandon Russell, Chris Durell, Larry Leigh

CEOS – IVOS 34

South Dakota State University Image Processing Lab

August 29 2022

1

Outline

✓ **Introduction**

- **Mirror Empirical Line Method;**
- **FLARE** [Field, Line-of-sight Automated Radiance Exposure];
- ✓ **FLARE Radiometry;**
- **Lambertian (Diffuse) Equivalent Reflectance Factor;**
- ✓ **2020 & 2021 Data Acquisition ;**
- ✓ **Preliminary Results for Landsat;**
- ✓ **Final Considerations;**

Introduction Mirror-based Empirical Line Method

Empirical Line Method

- \checkmark The MELM measures reference targets of known reflectance in the scene;
- ✓ The known reflectance targets are **convex mirrors**;
- ✓ If at least **two ground targets** with a known reflectance at different intensity levels are positioned within a scene, calibration/validation can be performed;
- ✓ The result from the regression calculation is the *gain* and *offset* coefficients that can be applied to all surfaces located in the scene [the assumption of a stable atmosphere within a minimum radius], providing *surface reflectance* retrieval image;
- \checkmark This is the one of the key advantages of the MELM method: **making the larger region surrounding the convex mirror system location into an extended validation target**;

FLARE Alpha deployed at Arlington's Farm (July 2020)

FLARE Alpha test platform long term loan agreement/partnership with **Labsphere**;

Radiometry – Extended surface

TOA Intensity (Sensor Independent**)**

$$
I(\lambda, \theta_r)_{TOA} = \frac{1}{4} \rho(\lambda, \theta_r) \tau_{\downarrow}(\lambda) \tau_{\uparrow}(\lambda) E_o(\lambda) R^2
$$

[Watts/(sr micron)/mirror]

Effective At-Sensor Radiance (sensor and collection geometry specific)

 $4GSD(x)GSD(y)$ $(\lambda, \theta_r) = \rho(\lambda, \theta_r) \tau_{\perp}(\lambda) \tau_{\uparrow}(\lambda) E_{\rho}(\lambda) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2 \mu^2}}$ $GSD(x)GSD(y)$ R^2 and \vert $L_{at-sensor}(\lambda, \theta_r) = \rho(\lambda, \theta_r) \tau_{\downarrow}(\lambda) \tau_{\uparrow}(\lambda) E_o(\lambda) \frac{R}{\Lambda CSD(\lambda) CSD(\lambda)}$

 $\rho(\lambda,\theta_r)$ = Mirror specular reflectance at the reflectance angle θ_r ; [Watts/(m² sr micron)/mirror]

2

 $\tau_{\downarrow}(\lambda)$ = Sun to ground transmittance;

 τ ^{*†*} (λ) = Ground to sensor transmittance; $E_o(\lambda)$ = Solar spectral constant;

 $R =$ Mirror radius of curvature (m);

 $GSD =$ Ground sample distance (m) ;

August 23, 2020

Lambertian (Diffuse) Equivalent Reflectance Factor

$$
\rho_F^{mir}(\lambda) = \left[\frac{1}{\cos\theta_o} + \left(f - \frac{1}{\cos\theta_o}\right) G(\lambda)\right] \frac{N\pi R^2}{4GSD_x GSD_y} \rho_m(\lambda)
$$

 $ρ$ (λ, $θ$ _{*r*}) = Mirror specular reflectance at the reflectance angle $θ$ _r

 θ_0 = Solar zenith angle

 $f =$ Fraction of the hemispherical sky reflected by the mirror dome of half angular width $\theta_{\rm m}$.

 $G(\lambda)$ = Diffuse to global ratio measured at the mirror target location

 $N =$ Number of mirrors

 $R =$ Mirror radius of curvature (m)

GSD = Line-of-site ground sample distance (m)

August 23, 2020

Lambertian (Diffuse) Equivalent Reflectance Factor

$$
\rho_F^{mir}(\lambda) = \left[\frac{1}{\cos\theta_o} + \left(f - \frac{1}{\cos\theta_o}\right)\left[\frac{G(\lambda)}{4GSD_xGSD_y}\rho_m(\lambda)\right]\right]
$$

August 23, 2020

Lambertian (Diffuse) Equivalent Reflectance Factor

$$
\boxed{\rho_F^{mir}(\lambda)} = \boxed{\frac{1}{\text{Cos}\theta_o} + \left(f - \frac{1}{\text{Cos}\theta_o}\right) G(\lambda)} \frac{N\pi R^2}{4\text{GSD}_\chi \text{GSD}_\chi} \boxed{\rho_m(\lambda)}
$$

10

- Ideally, we would have more than one mirror system in the same scene for a more robust regression;
- 2020 and 2021 \rightarrow **one FLARE system** which provides the **bright target**;
- ✓ In some days we have the **ground measurement** (vegetation/soil) with the ASD which provides the **dark target**;

Diffuse Equivalent Reflectance Factor

August 23, 2020

FLARE At-Sensor Radiance measured from image:

Assuming the FLARE system signal impacts 9 pixels:

$$
L_{Image} = \sum L_{Integrated_Response} = \sum_{n=1}^{9} \left(L_n - \overline{L_{background}} \right)
$$

The critical key step is to remove the **background signal** and, consequently, leave only the signal coming from the FLARE/mirrors.

Landsat-8 OLI

August 23, 2020

Background Signal: Assumptions:

Background: Image Derived

- (a) these **3 pixels** are not affected by the FLARE system; and
- (b) these **3 pixels** represent the same type of land cover* contained in the 9 pixels impacted by the FLARE system;

$$
\frac{\sum_{\text{background}}^3}{L_{\text{background}}} = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^3 L_n}{3}
$$

$$
L_{Image} = \sum L_{Integrated_Response} = \sum_{n=1}^{9} \left(L_n - \overline{L_{background}} \right)
$$

*Here the land cover is a mix of vegetation and soil.

FLARE At-Sensor Radiance measured from image:

Water

Preliminary Results August 23, 2020 Green Landsat-8

Preliminary Results August 23, 2020 Green Landsat-8

Green Landsat-8 Green - Band 0.6 • CAL/VAL Points **FLARE** These coefficients (slope & intercept) can be applied to the entire image [~10 miles from FLARE System] $\frac{d}{dx} = a \times L + b$ **Water Sole MELM Level-2 Landsat Product** 140 **Surface Reflectance Image Surface Reflectance Image**

Preliminary Results August 23, 2020

Preliminary Results August 23, 2020 Green Landsat-8

Green Landsat-8 Preliminary Results

Green - Band 0.14 0.12 0.1 Surface Reflectance from MELM
o
ca co
ca co 0.02 Ω 0.02 0.04 0.06 0.08 0.1 0.12 0.14 Surface Reflectance from Level 2 Product

August 23, 2020

Preliminary Results August 23, 2020 Red Landsat-8

22

Preliminary Results August 23, 2020 Red Landsat-8

Preliminary Results Landsat-8 August 23, 2020

Blue - Band 0.15 0.1 Surface Reflectance 0.05 \mathcal{C} -0.05 50 55 60 65 70 TOA Radiance from Level 1 Image

TOA Radiance from Level 1 Image

SWIR2 Landsat-8

Preliminary Results

August 23, 2020

Preliminary Results Landsat-8

Summary → August 23, 2020

***Error** → (Surface Reflectance from Level 2 Product) – (Surface Reflectance from MELM)

August 16, 2020 Preliminary Results Landsat-8

Preliminary Results Landsat-8

August 16, 2020

May, 31 2021

30

June, 23 2021 Preliminary Results Landsat-8

Landsat-8

Summary

- In 2020 the vegetation around FLARE was removed and then replanted;
- ✓ The area around FLARE was more homogeneous in **2021** consequently the background signal estimation is more accurate.

The average error considering all spectral bands has been reduced:

2020 2021

MAE → **± 0.024**

[reflectance units]

 $0.8 \pm 0.024 \rightarrow 3.0\%$ $0.4 \pm 0.024 \rightarrow 6.0\%$

MAE → **± 0.017**

[reflectance units]

 0.8 ± 0.017 → 2.1% $0.4 \pm 0.017 \rightarrow 4.3\%$

Sentinel-2 → **Bands 10m & 20 m**

 \rightarrow 9 pixels impacted by the FLARE system;

 \rightarrow 4 pixels impacted by the FLARE system;

Preliminary Results

August 25, 2020

Spectral Bands - Sentinel

Future Work: Two Mirror Systems

Two mirror systems may eliminate the need to know the background value: [but it MUST be exactly the same background - which may be hard to achieve]

Final Considerations

- \checkmark The Mirror-based Empirical Line Method (FLARE system) has great potential for evaluating Level-2 products;
- \checkmark Two key information for surface reflectance validation (Level-2):
	- (1) **Diffuse to Global Ratio** [Lambertian Equivalent Reflectance Factor]; and
	- (2) **Background Signal** [leave only the signal coming from the mirrors];
- ✓ Then Mean Absolute Error considering all spectral bands was **0.024** and **0.017** in 2020 and 2021, respectively.
- ✓ The area around FLARE is even more homogeneous in **2022** consequently the background signal estimation is more accurate.

References

- Pinto, C. T; Jing, Xin.; Leigh, L. Evaluation Analysis of Landsat Level-1 and Level-2 Data Products Using In Situ Measurements. *Remote Sensing* 2020, 12(16), 2597.
- Russell, B.; Scharpf, D.; Holt, Jeff; A., W.; Durell, C.; Jablonski, J.; Conran, D.; Schiller, S.; Leigh, L.; Aaron, D.; Oliviera, P. V.C.; and Pinto, C. T. The FLARE Vicarious Calibration Network: Development, Initial Results, and Operational Uncertainty. November 17-19, 2020.
- Pinto, C. T.; Leigh, L.; Helder, D. SDSU Vegetative Site Analysis from 2013 To 2017 for Radiometric Calibration of Earth Observation Sensors. In: *Brazilian Symposium on Remote Sensing*, 19. (SBSR), 2019, Santos. Anais... São José dos Campos: INPE, 2019. p. 1-4.
- Schiller, S; Silny, J.; Teter, M. Comprehensive Vicarious Calibration and haracterization of a Small Satellite Constellation Using the Specular Array Radiometric Calibration (SPARC) Method 2017. 31st Annual AIAA/USU Conference on Small Satellites Logan, UT, USA Utah State University.
- Schiller, S. Application of The Specular Array Radiometric Calibration (SPARC) Method for The Vicarious Calibration of Landsat Sensors 2016. Joint Agency Commercial Imagery Evaluation (JACIE) Workshop.
- https://flare-network.com/

Preliminary Evaluation of the Mirror-Based Empirical Line Method using FLARE system (Surface Reflectance – Landsat Level 2)

SDSU Image Processing Laboratory

Presenter: Cibele Teixeira Pinto

Pedro Valle de Carvalho e Oliveira, David Aaron, Jeff Holt, Brandon Russell, Chris Durell, Larry Leigh

Earth Observing Systems XXVII – SPIE Optics + Photonics

South Dakota State University Image Processing Lab

21 - 25 August 2022