



# POLO Pleiades Orbital Lunar Observations Status

Sophie LACHERADE <u>Aimé MEYGRET</u>

## **PLEIADES** satellites





System of two satellites: PLEIADES-1A and PLEIADES-1B launched at the end of 2011 and 2012

Swath 20 km, agile Satellite

Spatial resolution: 0.70 m PA, 2.80 XS (4 spectral bands VIS-NIR)



# **PLEIADES** radiometric calibration



Goal: absolute calibration < 5% - Drift monitoring < 1% Calibration sites:



## **PLEIADES Lunar acquisitions**



 $\rightarrow$  167 images acquired by PLEIADES-1A since its launch (12/2011)

 $\rightarrow$  1069 images acquired by PLEIADES-1B since its launch (12/2012)





Cones

## Sensitivity to the phase angle



- $\rightarrow$  Confirmation of ROLO sensitivity to the phase angle (up to 6%)
- $\rightarrow$  Large absolute difference (up to 14%) with ROLO
- $\rightarrow$  Weak noise

CEOS WGCV IVOS-26 Meeting, June 04-06 2014

5

# **PLEIADES-1B Lunar acquisitions**

## → Uncertainties due to the processing estimated better than 0.5% (see CALCON 2013)

- consideration of the background signal
- computation of the integrated Moon signal
- consideration of the solid angle variation within the field of view of the instrument
- calculation of the ancillary variables (body-fixed coordinates)



Coes

**BUT** no accurate estimation of the impact of the sensor yaw angle on the calibration results.



ightarrow new experiments to assess this effect

## Impact of the moon orientation

- Moon views every minute with a 45° yaw angle variation between each image
- Variation of the phase up to 1.5°





13 Experiments performed for different phase angles of the Moon



## Impact of the moon orientation



#### Results for PHR-1B red band : variation from 0.2% to 0.6%



- Sensitivity to the phase angle all the more important as the phase angle is small (max for full moon conditions)
- Same signature in reference to the sensor yaw angle : 0.2% variation (estimated for a minimum phase angle dependence)



# The moon for PLEIADES calibration monitoring





- $\rightarrow$  Selection of the lunar acquisitions with a phase of 40  $\,$  (  $\,$  0.5  $\,)$
- $\rightarrow$  Similar behaviour for the 2 instruments
- $\rightarrow$  Weak dispersion of the measurements



# **PLEIADES radiometric calibration**



## PHR1B calibration results: Moon, Desert, Antarctica



→ Retrieve the observed biais between MERIS and MODIS (Lachérade et al., IEEE, 2013)

## **Cross band calibration – Phase dependence**

### PHR1B B0 band cross-calibration in reference to the other spectral bands



- → ROLO phase dependence has less influence on cross band calibration than on temporal calibration: 2% versus 5% for phase  $\in$  [-100 :100 ]
- $\rightarrow$  Accurate cross band calibration whatever the phase is
- $\rightarrow$  Useful for sensors which cannot choose their acquisition phase angle



Cnes

## **Cross band calibration – Spectral dependence**

Cross band calibration results for PHR1B (phase angles range: [-70;70])



Maximum dispersion: 2.5% according to the reference band  $\rightarrow$  combines official cross-band calibration errors and ROLO spectral errors



## **Sensors cross-calibration results**



PHR-1B cross calibration in reference to PHR-1A and AQUA/MODIS over the moon (phase angle=55):



 $\rightarrow$  Cross calibration over the moon:

- very good consistence for 558nm, 663nm and 844nm
- 3% difference for 505nm between MODIS and PHR-1B
- → Cross-calibration performance to be improved through a spectral correction (cross-calibration method currently limited to a band-to-band cross-calibration)

## Absolute calibration ROLO versus on-orbit measurements



- → Very good agreement between AQUA/MODIS, PHR1A, PHR1B and MSG2 (PHR-1A and PHR-1B calibration based on ENVISAT/MERIS) (MSG2 calibration based on desert cross-calibration methods)
- → Uncertainty of the absolute calibration of the ROLO implementation up to 10% at 55 CEOS WGCV IVOS-26 Meeting, June 04-06 2014

cnes

## **SADE data base: Lunar observations**

SENSOR	Spectral range	Nb of spectral bands	Spatial resolution	Acquisition Dates	Phase angle range	Number of measurements
PHR-1A	Vis-Nir	4	2.80m	2012-2013	[-115°;115°]	167
PHR-1B	Vis-Nir	4	2.80m	2013	[-115°;115°]	1069
AQUA/MODIS	Vis-Nir	7	250-500m	2002-2013	[51°;55°]	108
MSG1	Vis-Nir-Swir	3	2500m	2003-2012	[-150°;152°]	393
MSG2	Vis-Nir-Swir	3	3000m	2006-2013	[-145;145]	366
LANDSAT8*	Vis-Nir-Swir	8	30m	2013	-7° and +8°	148

 $\rightarrow$  A lot of sensors with different characteristics: spatial and spectral resolution, phase angle range, radiometric absolute accuracy,...

## Conclusion



## • The PLEIADES dataset

- takes benefits from:
  - High spatial resolution
  - High phase angle range [-115,+115]
  - High satellite agility (rotation of the satellite to acquire the Moon every minute)
- is used to accurately quantify the calibration errors (data and method including ROLO model)



## **Future work:**

- ROLO model phase angle dependence correction
- ROLO model as an absolute reference
- Spectral resampling for satellite cross calibration



## The Moon seen by PLEIADES

More than 4 million of pixels !











