



# **CALIBRATION** over the Moon

# An introduction to « POLO »

Sophie Lachérade Bertrand Fougnie Aimé Meygret

CEOS - IVOS 25, Frascati, 19-21 march 2013

#### Introduction

- It has been demonstrated that the Moon is a very precious way to monitor in-orbit the radiometric drift of sensors
- Activity under development at CNES
  - Method implemented in the operational MUSCLE/SADE environment
  - Missions: PLEIADES, VENµS,...
- Starting of Pleiades 1A and 1B commissioning phases in Jan'12 and Jan'13
  - Strong ability to "catch" the moon
  - Intensive acquisitions have been performed (recom phase = 40)
    - » 1 moon every day during one lunar cycle
    - » various moon cycles
    - » several moons during the day : every orbit, 2 successively, several on the same orbit
    - » 1 moon simultaneously by PH1A and PH1B
- This defines the Pleiades Orbital Lunar Observations "POLO"
  - Intensive <u>in-orbit</u> acquisitions in various conditions
  - + Goals:
    - » to better understand the published ROLO model in its operational form
    - » to quantify the potential impact of the viewing and sun geometry, sampling and resampling
    - » to derive recommendations
    - » to contribute to improve the use of lunar acquisitions
    - » to develop sensors cross-calibration over the moon



## **Overview of PLEIADES HR mission & satellite**

#### MISSION



Spatial resolution

Panchromatic : 70 cm XS (B, G, R, NIR): 2.80 m

Simultaneous PA + XS acquisition Swath: 20 km



#### SATELLITE

• Mass : < 1 T

• Power : Lithium-ion batteries Rigid AsGa solar panels

• AOCS : Gyro actuators Star sensors Optical fiber gyros

- Image telemetry at 600 Mbps
- 600-Gbit mass memory



#### **INSTRUMENT**



- Korsch camera
- Focal length 12.90m
- Diameter 0.65m
- PA retina : TDI detector
- XS retina : four color CCD
- 12 bit quantization
- On-board detectors normalization
- Wavelet compression: from 1.4 to 3.33 bits/pixel

PHR1A launch: December 17, 2011 PHR1B launch: December 2, 2012





#### The PLEIADES system



Jupiter and its moon





#### The PLEIADES system



Example of video over Melbourne (Australia)

<u>..\..\PLEIADES\PHR-</u> <u>1A\IMAGES\PHR\_VIDEO\MELBOURNE\2600\_8400.exe</u>



#### The PLEIADES absolute calibration

#### **Goal: radiometric absolute calibration better than 5%**

#### **Methods:**



## Lunar calibration is a multi-temporal calibration method



- → Regular acquisition of the moon fixed phase of 40 every month 2 views per day to allow stereoscopic acquisitions
- H.H. Kieffer, T.C. Stone, R.A. Barnes, S. Bender, R.E. Eplee, J. Mendenhall, L. Ong On-orbit radiometric calibration over time and between spacecraft using the moon SPIE 4881, pp. 287-298, 2003.



7

### **Pleiades on the moon**





### **Pleiades on the moon**







### The PLEIADES absolute calibration

## Focus on the LUNAR acquisitions

 $\rightarrow$  Multi-temporal calibration based on Moon with a phase of 40



- Stability of the instrument since the Launch
- Consistency of the 3 methods for the temporal evolution of the sensor



#### How to explain the dispersion of the lunar acquisitions (2%)?



→ Decision to extend the moon acquisitions to cover the entire Moon cycle (from -115 to 115 ) to better understand the method



#### $\Rightarrow$ 138 images acquired by PLEIADES1A since its launch (12/2011)



 $\Rightarrow$  150 images acquired by PLEIADES1B since its launch (12/2012)! CORE

## Focus on the LUNAR acquisitions

 $\rightarrow$  Evolution of the moon with the phase

Moon\_PHR1A\_April.exe







- $\Rightarrow$  Sensitivity of the method with :
  - conditions of acquisition
  - the phase of the moon



### Conclusion

 Thanks to Pleiades satellites agility, and taking advantage of the commissioning phases, we performed intensive in-orbit moon acquisitions varying sun and viewing geometries

 $\rightarrow$  The "POLO" Pleiades Orbital Lunar Observations

#### The analysis of this set of data is ongoing

- To check the implemented ROLO model
- To better understand the results sensitivity to the phase of the moon (residue modelization?)
- To better analyze the impact of the viewing geometry on the calibration results (the yaw angle is not constraint)
- To better consider the over/under-sampling impact regarding acquisition configuration
- To demonstrate the moon interest for cross-calibration tested for P1A and P1B : same date + same phase

COPS