

# Accuracy and Precision Requirements for Climate-Level Data Sets



## **Accuracy requirements – Commercial imagers**

### Precision and SNR drive calibration requirements for commercial high spatial resolution sensors



- High spatial resolution users tend to be more concerned with spatial variability
- In-band, detector-to-detector calibration
- Absolute calibration will become more important as multi-scale studies continue to mature

#### **Accuracy requirements – Operational sensors**



Operational sensors need high precision, SNR, self-consistency and interconsistency

- Solar case here is met as an illustration
- Absolute accuracy is a key element for this particular mission
  - Differences in the upper curves exceeded the sensor's error budgets
  - Now understood to be caused by the optical design differences

### **Accuracy requirements – Operational sensors**

Interconsistency is important for users to create time series

Avg. % [ MISR Original uses for many -15 645 858 operational systems only required self-consistency

Difference

0

-5

Terra MODIS

- Understanding temporal trends in calibration
- Stability was/is not a requirement
- Accuracy is helpful but not strictly necessary for any single sensor (provided overlap between sensors)





MODIS

Hyperion

#### **Accuracy requirements – Climate applications**

### Climate-related applications need accuracy and benefit from stability

- Required accuracy can be derived by examining climate model output
- Data products are spatial and temporal averages



#### Collins and Feldman, AGU Fall Meeting, 2010

# **Climate model test and decadal change**

Most powerful test of climate model prediction accuracy is decadal change observations

- Accuracy required at large time and space scales
- Must survive data gaps
- Questions are
  - How long a data record is needed?
  - What variables are key?
  - What accuracy relative to perfect observing system is needed?
- How are the above determined?





# **Climate missions considered here**

#### **TRUTHS**:

Traceable Radiometry Underpinning Terrestrial- and Helio- Studies

#### A Benchmark Mission for Climate Change and GMES







### Measurement approach

Benchmark climate data records provide the decadal change observations

- Benchmarks can be formed in two complementary ways
  - Well-calibrated, highly accurate sensor (e.g. TRUTHS, CLARREO) for direct data collection
  - Well-calibrated sensor calibrates operational sensors
- Dual approach provides tests analogous to using independent measurements and analysis in metrology
- Accuracy of benchmark observations is required only at large time and space scales
- Must survive data gaps



# **Climate science requirements**

Observations and climate model predictions combined to develop requirements





#### Accuracy requirements – Climate data record

Accuracy requirements can be inferred relative to natural variability & time to detect



 Traceable uncertainties from decadal change observation to SI standards, at decadal change accuracy levels

 Accuracy requirements driven by a time to detect above natural variability

Courtesy B. Wielicki and CLARREO SDT

# **Accuracy Requirements – Climate applications**

- Instrument absolute accuracy requirements are derived with the goal of achieving measurements
  - Within 20% of a perfect climate observing system
  - Time to detect trends within 15% of perfect observing system
- 0.3% (k=2) for the RS spectra
  - Nadir reflectance
  - Driven by natural variability of cloud radiative forcing, cloud fraction, cloud optical depth, particle size
- SI traceable observations needed to survive short gaps in record
- SNR requirements are driven by the verification approaches not the data itself



# Why use the desert for climate?

# Deserts can provide relatively unchanging surfaces for calibration and evaluation



- Verifies sensor operation
  - Transfer calibrations between sensors
- Characterize sensor to SI-traceable, absolute radiometric quantities
- Part of the ensemble of calibration

approaches



# How well do we need to know the desert?

CLARREO-like climate measurements require the most stringent knowledge

- Verification of CLARREO optical behavior requires <0.1% (k=2) variability from measurement to measurement
  - Single measurement must provide knowledge that the sensor has changed
  - Requires significant improvement in knowledge
    of surface and atmospheric properties
- Absolute calibration of CLARREO using the deserts would require <0.2% uncertainty (k=2) relative to the solar irradiance, integrated over wavelength
  - Total error budget is 0.3%
  - Total error budget includes instrument noise



### How well do we need to know the desert?

Knowledge of the desert must be good enough to use "all" collections

- Desert views and deep convective cloud approaches benefit from >300 acquisitions per year
- Noise caused surface and atmosphere limits the full use of these
  - Many approaches limit sun-sensor view geometry
  - Any removal of data leads to possibility of an induced bias
- Greater use of collections will also lead to improved processing techniques



# **Desert site characterization**

Sensors used to characterize the desert sites need to be improved

Avg. % Difference

15

5

-5

-15

етм+

MISR

Orbview

Terra MODIS

ASTER

lkonos

Red

ALI

Aqua MODIS

Hyperion

QuickBird

NIR

- Cannot currently decouple
  - On-orbit sensor effects
  - Atmospheric variability
  - Surface variability



- Comparison of sensors improves in the NIR
- Bands with highest SNR for on-orbit and groundbased sensors
- Atmospheric effects are not as dominant
- TRUTHS and CLARREO would help decouple
- Question remains on how to combine errors from instruments and from the sites



## **Final comments**

The deserts are an essential piece of the overall sensor characterization and calibration

- Deserts should not be recommended as the sole calibration approach
  - This is a risk if we achieve some of the items previously discussed
- Climate-level measurements are a driver for both accuracy and precision of lunar understanding
  - <0.1% (k=2) variability relative to solar irradiance
  - <0.2% (k=2) absolute uncertainty</p>
- Satisfying climate-level requirements will not necessarily solve issues for other types of sensors
- How to characterize the sites without sensors dedicated to that purpose is a major question

